

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,117	03/09/2000	William Scott Caldwell	627-311CT	2879	
7	590 01/07/2003				
Carl B Massey Jr			EXAMINER		
Post Office Bo		;	BALASUBRAMANIAN	BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
Atlanta, GA 30357			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	21	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/522,117	CALDWELL ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Venkataram Balasubramanian	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/522,117

Art Unit: 1624

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

The response filed 12/09/2002 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance for the following reasons.

Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caldwell et al. US 5,861,423 for reasons of record.

Applicants' argument to overcome this rejection is not fully persuasive.

As noted in the previous office action, R  $\alpha$ -methyl isomer is as active as the unsubstantiated pyridinyl compound. Applicants have not provide why one trained in the art would not be motivated to make the higher homolog, the  $\alpha$ -methyl compound.

As for cis / trans isomer issue, prior art teaches cis and trans 3- butene amine and cis and trans 4-pentene-amine as cholinergic agents as seen from the references cited by the applicants in the Information Disclosure Statement. But there is no such data available for the monoamine oxidase activity related metabolic stability. Since applicants are claiming a subtle change in substituents to influence the activity profile of the compound, it is applicants burden to show that the cis/ trans isomers as class are active.

Hence this rejection is maintained.

## Terminal Disclaimer

The application/patent being disclaimed has been improperly identified since the number used to identify the 09/973,419 being disclaimed is incorrect. The correct number is 09/642,351.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/522,117

Art Unit: 1624

Applicants' should note that 09/642,351 is a continuation of copending application

Page 3

08/631,761 and embraces pyridinyl compounds.

The copending application 09/973,419, which is now allowed, relates to pyrimidinyl

compounds not embraced in the instant claims.

Hence the double patenting rejection made in the previous office action is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703)

305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-1235.

V. Belasubawawa

Venkataraman Balasubramanian

1/2/2002